**Informational Texts Qualitative Analysis Tool**

*This tool is organized around the four categories of qualitative complexity: purpose (chiefly informational)/meaning (chiefly literary), language, structure, and knowledge. Within each category, you will first analyze the complexity level of each category, drawing from the* [*Informational Text Qualitative Rubric*](https://achievethecore.org/content/upload/SCASS_Info_Text_Complexity_Qualitative_Measures_Info_Rubric_2.8.pdf)*, to determine what makes this text more or less complex. Then, you will identify relevant opportunities and cautions for culturally relevant pedagogy[[1]](#footnote-1).*

*To use the tool on a computer, consider highlighting levels of complexity and relevant opportunities/cautions. Take care to* ***note specific examples from the text*** *that support your determinations in each section.* ***Use these notes to plan for instruction****.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PURPOSE** | | | |
| **HIGH COMPLEXITY** | **MIDDLE HIGH** | **MIDDLE LOW** | **LOW COMPLEXITY** |
| * Complex, implied, and/or difficult to determine; may have multiple purposes | * Implied, but can be inferred; may have multiple purposes | * Implied, but easy to identify based on context | * Explicitly stated |
|
| **Opportunities** | | **Cautions** | |
| * Explores **power, equity**, **justice**, or **injustice** * Messages **disrupt stereotypes**/dominant ways of thinking. * **Own stories** are being told (consider shared identity markers of author and characters/content, lived experiences, etc.). | | * Messages **reinforce and accept the status quo** (e.g., do not showcase ability to organize for change, do not challenge preconceived notions). * Messages (text/images) **reinforce dominant** ways of thinking or **stereotypes.** | |
| Additional questions to ask yourself:   * How might this text connect to experiences that lead students to take action in their lives and communities? How could it be used for critical conversation? * Whose perspective is presumed or centered in this text? How does this reflect students’ perspectives or identities? * For which students in my class could this text serve as a mirror to their own experiences/identity? For which students in my class could this text serve as a window to new experiences/identities?[[2]](#footnote-2) | | | |
| Text-Based Notes:[[3]](#footnote-3) | | | |
| **STRUCTURE** | | | |
| **HIGH COMPLEXITY** | **MIDDLE HIGH** | **MIDDLE LOW** | **LOW COMPLEXITY** |
| * **Organization:** highly complex; implicit connections between ideas; conforms to the conventions of a specific content area or discipline * **Text Features:** if used, are essential in understanding * **Use of Graphics**: if used, interpretation of complex graphics essential to understanding the text; may also provide information not conveyed[[4]](#footnote-4) | * **Organization:** complex; some explicit connections between ideas; may exhibit traits common to a specific content area or discipline * **Text Features:** if used, greatly enhance the reader’s understanding * **Use of Graphics**: if used, some graphics are complex and may occasionally be essential to the understanding | * **Organization:** may be complex; largely explicit connections between ideas; generally follows the conventions of the discipline * **Text Features:** if used, enhance the reader’s understanding * **Use of Graphics**: if used, graphics are mostly simple and supplementary to understanding the text | * **Organization:** simple; explicit connections between ideas; conforms to the conventions of the discipline * **Text Features:**  if used, help the reader navigate and understand content but are not essential * **Use of Graphics**: if used, graphics are simple and unnecessary to understanding the text |
| **Opportunities** | | **Cautions** | |
| * Text features and/or graphics have potential to build on **students’ understanding of themselves** and their histories. * Text features and/or graphics have the opportunity to increase **awareness, appreciation, and understandings** of historically marginalized communities. | | * Text features and/or graphics contribute to **stereotypical beliefs** that have been constructed in society (e.g., strict gender roles). | |
| Additional questions to ask yourself:   * How might the identity/perspective of this author/illustrator influence the way in which they share this information? | | | |
| Text-Based Notes: | | | |
| **LANGUAGE** | | | |
| **HIGH COMPLEXITY** | **MIDDLE HIGH** | **MIDDLE LOW** | **LOW COMPLEXITY** |
| * **Conventionality:** contains abstract and/or figurative language * **Clarity:** dense and complex language that is *generally unfamiliar,*\* archaic, discipline specific, or overly academic; language may be ambiguous or purposely misleading | * **Conventionality:** occasionally contains abstract and/or figurative language * **Clarity:** somewhat complex language that is *occasionally unfamiliar,*\* archaic, discipline-specific, or overly academic | * **Conventionality:** largely *contemporary,* *conversational language*\* * **Clarity:** largely explicit, *familiar language; easy-to-understand*\* and rarely archaic, discipline-specific, or overlay academic | * **Conventionality:** contemporary, *conversational language*\* * **Clarity:** clear, explicit, literal, *easy-to-understand language\** |
|
| \* In making these determinations, consider the students in the room. For whom is language conversational? From whom would it be “easy-to-understand?” How does this allow you, or not allow you, to center historically and/or currently marginalized students? | | | |
| **Opportunities** | | **Cautions** | |
| * Builds **academic language** * Provides opportunities to connect to **multilingual learners’** home language, based on prior knowledge or topics under study | | * Language makes **generalizations** or implies something is normal and absolute or a norm for all individuals and/or groups of people. Does not acknowledge or make space for different cultural norms. * Language makes **assumptions** about a specific culture or group of people | |
| Additional questions to ask yourself:   * Is the language likely to be familiar to students and/or in language that represents the spoken language of students in the classroom? How so? * Is there language or voice that’s local to the people, culture, country, or topic? (e.g., firsthand account, includes spoken/home language) * How complex is the sentence structure (e.g., rich vocabulary, complex syntax, or other elements of [“juicy sentences”](https://achievethecore.org/content/upload/Juicy%20Sentence%20Guidance.pdf))?[[5]](#footnote-5) | | | |
| Text-Based Notes: | | | |
| **KNOWLEDGE** | | | |
| **HIGH COMPLEXITY** | **MIDDLE HIGH** | **MIDDLE LOW** | **LOW COMPLEXITY** |
| * **Subject Matter Knowledge:** requires extensive, perhaps *specialized*\*or even theoretical discipline-specific *content knowledge* * **Intertextuality:** many references to/citations of other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc. | * **Subject Matter Knowledge:** requires *moderate levels*\* of discipline-specific content knowledge; some theoretical knowledge may enhance understanding * **Intertextuality:** some references to/citations of other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc. | * **Subject Matter Knowledge:** *everyday, practical*\* knowledge is largely necessary; requires some discipline-specific content knowledge * **Intertextuality**: few references to/citations of other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc. | * **Subject Matter Knowledge**: requires only *everyday, practical*\* knowledge and familiarity with conventions of the genre * **Intertextuality**: no references to/citations of other texts or outside ideas, theories, etc. |
|
| \*In making these determinations, consider the students in the room. How much do you know about students’ subject matter or content knowledge related to the content in this text? Whose knowledge are you considering as you think about what will be “specialized”? How does this allow you, or not allow you, to center historically and/or currently marginalized students? | | | |
| **Opportunities** | | **Cautions** | |
| * Expands **students’ worldview** and/or understanding of **different perspectives** * Shows **joy, agency, creativity or resilience** of historically marginalized identities * Builds knowledge about a **topic, perspective, or event** * Connects to content knowledge of a **unit of study** * Connects to current events ortopics that **matter to students** | | * Focuses on **challenges/obstacles** faced by historically marginalized identities * **Omits or ignores historically marginalized** voices, identities, or experiences | |
| Additional questions to ask yourself:   * How can the content of this text engage students in understanding the context or impact of real-world problems? * For which students does this text provide opportunities to leverage their existing funds of knowledge and language resources? For which students might this text build new knowledge of others and the world? | | | |
| Text-Based Notes: | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Additional Student Considerations**  Revisit your thinking about who you are, who students are, and how that intersects with this specific text. Are there other considerations for your students when engaging with this text (e.g., cultural considerations, students’ lived experiences, etc.)? |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Summarize Your Analysis**  *Consider whether this is a grade-level text[[6]](#footnote-6) (quantitative and qualitative complexity), and what opportunities and cautions are present for culturally relevant content and instruction. Also think about any connections to units/topics under study, class-specific instructional needs, and how the text’s complexity may influence placement within the school year.* | |
| This text is:   * Above grade level * Below grade level * Within grade level | **And,** has the following **opportunities** for culturally relevant pedagogy: |
| **But,** the following **cautions** for culturally relevant pedagogy need to be attended to: |
| **Scaffolds**: What [additional scaffolds](https://docs.google.com/gview?url=https%3A%2F%2Fachievethecore.org%2Fpeersandpedagogy%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2016%2F08%2FSupporting-All-Learners-with-Complex-Text-1.pdf&embedded=true) could support students when engaging with this text?  **Paired Resources:** What other texts, resources, or multimedia could be paired with this text (e.g., to connect to units/topics under study or present a more full depiction of the topic)? | |
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